

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450' Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/762,693 01/22/2004		Romain Pillard	945-011666-US (PAR)	5263	
	2512 7590 11/01/2007 PERMAN & GREEN 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			EXAMINER		
				OSELE, MARK A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	1791		
			•		·	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				11/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/762,693	PILLARD, ROMAIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mark A. Osele	1791	

	mant 7t. Coolo	'''	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment itice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire becaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amoushortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailin	ailing date of the final reject THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 3 1.136(a) and the appropria ount of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Off	ion. FILED WITHIN ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	l .		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see bw); tter form for appeal by materiall corresponding number of finally	NOTE below); y reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Nor: llowable if submitted in a separa	ate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 6-8. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5,10 and 11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the aff	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> idavit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under a	opeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attac	hed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	- MAGO	
		/ MARK A. OS PRIMARY EXA	SELE MINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/762,693

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations that the flaps are folded and the supple part deforms to allow an improperly closed flap to pass by the separator are both new issues. In addition, the second limitation appears to be new matter in that a text search of the disclosure did not find the terms improper or improperly. This limitation also appears to be indefinite as "improperly closed" is not defined.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Whitener and Anderson are nonanalogous art and indicates that the problem with which the applicant was concerned is separating envelope flaps from envelope bodies. This is actually the inventor's field of endeavor, not the problem with which applicant was concerned. Applicant was concerned with the problem of making a supple part.